PATENT COOPERATION TREATY GlaxoSmithKline Corporate IP From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY 04 AUG 2004 To: Glas oSmitniklisha Received Stevenage THOMSON, Clive B. ಿorporate IP GlaxoSmithKline d BRENTHORD Corporate Intellectual Property Rec NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY 980 Great West Road **EXAMINATION REPORT** 6 2 235 2004 **Brentford** Middlesex TW8 9GS (PCT Rule 71.1) **GRANDE BRETAGNE** Date of mailing UPDATED ON Ch onth/vear) 30.07.2004 ATTY CHECKED/FILE Applicant's or agent's file reference IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION JAF/PG4979 Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) 28.10.2002 PCT/EP 03/12035 24.10.2003 Applicant GLAXO GROUP LIMITED et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Roche, S

Tel. +49 89 2399-8031



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

JAF/PG4979 International application No. International			FOR FURTHER ACTIO		See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
			International filing date (day/me	nthly			
			24.10.2003		28.10.2002		
C07C31	7/22	UP LIMITED et al.	or both national classification and IPC				
GLAXO							
1. Thi Aut	s inter thority	national preliminary e and is transmitted to t	xamination report has been prep the applicant according to Article	ared 36.	red by this International Preliminary Examining 6.		
2. Thi	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	bee	n amended and are th		ets	of the description, claims and/or drawings which have ts containing rectifications made before this Authority auctions under the PCT).		
The	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
	•		relating to the following items:				
l	Ø	Basis of the opinion	1				
II.		Priority		•			
III	×			inve	oventive step and industrial applicability		
IV V	⊠	Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer		ard to	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
			nations supporting such statemen				
VI		Certain documents	cited				
VII		Certain defects in th	ne international application				
VIII		Certain observation	s on the international application				
Date of su	bmissi	on of the demand	Date	of co	completion of this report		
28.04.20	004		30.0	7.20	2004		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authorized Officer			
	- Eu	ropean Patent Office			and the same of th		
	Te	80298 Munich I. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52	Rom 23656 epmu d	ano	no-Götsch, R		
		v: ±49 89 2399 • 4465			no No. 140 90 2200-8974		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/12035

Bas			

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	Description, Pages						
	1-69	Ð	as originally filed					
	Cla	ims, Numbers						
	1-21		as originally filed					
	Dra	wings, Sheets						
	1/3-	3/3	as originally filed					
2.	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).						
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
		the language of a translation fumished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).						
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applica international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: 								
 contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. 			rnational application in written form.					
			e international application in computer readable form.					
			ntly to this Authority in written form.					
			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.						
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:								
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/12035

5.		This report has been establish been considered to go beyond	ned as	if (some of) isclosure as	the amendments had not been made, since they have filed (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		(Any replacement sheet conta report.)	aining s	such amendi	ments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Add	dditional observations, if necessary:					
111.	. Nor	n-establishment of opinion w	ith reç	gard to nove	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
1.	 The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: 						
		the entire international applica	ition,				
	☑ claims Nos. 15						
	because:						
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to a method of treatment of the human body, i.e. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
see separate sheet							
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so under that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
		the claims, or said claims Nos could be formed.	. are s	o inadequate	ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion		
		no international search report	has be	een establish	ed for the said claims Nos.		
2.	or a	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide an or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:					
	☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.				not comply with the Standard.		
		the computer readable form ha	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.		
V.	Rea cita	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; tations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Stat	Statement					
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21		
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21		
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-14,16-21 (15: no opinion)		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/12035

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

Reltem III

No establishment of opininion

For the assessment of the presently worded claim 15 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the wording of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not regard as industrially applicable claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, however will allow claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following documents are referred to in this communication: D1: WO-A-02/066422

D2: GB-A-2 140 800 - 85082

The present application meets the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT because the claimed 1. matter 1-21 is novel.

D1, which is regarded as the closest prior art, discloses phenethanolamine derivatives, which differ from the claimed compounds in that the substituent R1 is a sulphonamide of formula R1= -SO2NR6R7 (see p.1, lines 30-35, with X=(CH2)p and p=0), while R1 in the present application is a sulphonyl, sulphinyl or thio group of formula R1= -SR6, -SOR6 or -SO2R6.

D2 describes phenethanolamine derivatives which differ from the compounds on file in that the group Ar in D2 cannot carry any of the substituents -SR6, -SOR6 or -SO2R6 as in the application (see p.1, lines 47-51).

The present application meets the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT because the claimed 2. matter 1-21 is regarded as involving an inventive step.

Departing from D1, the problem to be solved by the application is the provision of new phenethanolamine derivatives useful in therapy and/or prophylaxis of respiratory diseases.

The solution proposed in the application, consists in the compounds of formula (I) which correspond to the compounds of Formula (I) of D1 where R1= -XSO2NR6R7 has been replaced by any of -SR6, -SOR6 or -SO2R6.

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

D1 is silent about the possibility of eliminating the amide group of the sulfonamide and yet obtaining an active compound. Furthermore, the steric requirements of a sulfonamide group are profoundly different from those of the groups -SR6, -SOR6 or -SO2R6 of the application.

Therefore, an inventive step for claims 1-21 has been acknowledged.

In view of the structural differences between the compounds of D2 and those on file, D2 is not considered relevant to the evaluation of an inventive step.

Miscellaneous

The following clarity objections will be pursued upon entry in the European regional phase:

- The meaning of the expression "physiologically functional derivatives" used throughout the claims is an open-ended expression that leaves undefined the matter for which protection is sought, contrary to Art.6 PCT.
- the dependency of claim 2 is incomplete. (ii)